



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

c w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,493		12/10/2001	Larus Jon Gudmundsson	3535-0114P	7153
2292	7590	04/07/2003			
		KOLASCH & BII	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 74° FALLS CHU		A 22040-0747	BREVARD, MAERENA W		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3727	7
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EA-
-----

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	09/936,493	GUDMUNDSSON, LARUS JON				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
1	Maerena W. Brevard	3727				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspond nc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 L	December 2001 .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) D Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/936,493 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 6includes the following informalities: in line 2, "encircle" should be changed to -- encircles--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the upper surface" in line 1; claim 4 recites the limitation "the inclination of the seat section" in line 1; and claim 6 recites the limitation "the inner part" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

Claim 6, line 2 includes the double recitation of "seat section partly encircling the neck of an adult" as in claim 1.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reilly.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,493

Art Unit: 3727

Reilly discloses a device for carrying a child upon the shoulder of an adult (10) comprising:

- A seat section (26) partly encircling the neck of an adult (Figure 1);
- A leg section (16, 18), to the same degree claimed, reaching down over the chest;
- The seat section being a cushion saddle to the degree that the seat section is made of material fabric that is considered cushioned;
- The leg section has stirrups (30, 32) for the child's feet; and
- The leg section length is adjustable (110, 112).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verina in view of Bush.

Verina discloses a device for carrying a child upon the shoulder of an adult (11) comprising a seat section (14) partly encircling the neck of an adult (Figure 3), a leg section (15) reaching down over the chest, and the seat section being a cushion saddle (inflatable), but does not teach the leg section having stirrups. However, Bush teaches the leg section of the child carrier having stirrups (21) for the child's feet. It would have been obvious to attach the stirrups of Bush on the child carrier of Verina. Doing so would assist the child in balancing on the adult's shoulders.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,493

Art Unit: 3727

Regarding claim 2, the upper surface of the seat section is forwardly inclined with respect to the horizontal plane (figure 4).

Regarding claim 3, the thickness of the seat section is decreasing (at 23) in the direction toward the leg section.

The inclination of the seat section is about 4-10 degrees with respect to the horizontal plane, to the same degree claimed.

Regarding claim 6, the inner part of the seat section of Verina is semi-circular and at least partly encircles the neck of the adult (Figures 1 and 3).

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Johnson is cited for a child carrier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maerena W. Brevard whose telephone number is 703/305-0037. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 8:00 AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703/308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9302 for regular communications and 703/872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-0037.

Maerena Brevard March 20, 2003

> LEÉ YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700